	Case 1:24-cv-01287-KES-GSA	Document 10	Filed 02/11/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	DIMITRI Z. STORM,	No	o. 1:24-cv-01287-K	ES-GSA (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING		
13	v.	AC	ACTION, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO EXHAUST ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES AND DISMISSING APPLICATION FOR IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS AS MOOT		
14	CSATF WARDEN, et al.,	AI			
15	Defendants.				
16		Do	ocs. 2, 9		
17					
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief				
19	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to				
20	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.				
21	Plaintiff initiated this action on October 22, 2024. Doc. 1. Plaintiff filed an application to				
22	proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") the same day. Doc. 2. On November 15, 2024, the Court				
23	issued an order directing plaintiff to show cause within fourteen days why the matter should not				
24	be dismissed for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. Doc. 7. Plaintiff did not respond to				
25	the Court's order, see docket, and thereafter, on January 22, 2025, the magistrate judge issued				
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	findings and recommendations recommending both summary dismissal for failure to exhaust				
28	administrative remedies and dismissal of plaintiff's IFP application as moot, Doc. 9. The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections were to				
۷٥	and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections were to				

	Case 1:24-cv-01287-KES-GSA Document 10 Filed 02/11/25 Page 2 of 2				
1	be filed within fourteen (14) days of service. <i>Id.</i> Plaintiff did not file any objections or otherwise				
2	communicate with the Court.				
3	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case.				
4	Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court concludes the findings and recommendations are				
5	supported by the record and by proper analysis, except that dismissal shall be without prejudice.				
6	Accordingly:				
7	1. The findings and recommendations issued January 22, 2025 (Doc. 9), are ADOPTED				
8	IN FULL;				
9	2. This matter is DISMISSED, without prejudice, for failure to exhaust administrative				
10	remedies;				
11	3. Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 2) is DENIED as moot; and				
12	4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.				
13					
14					
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
16	Dated: February 10, 2025 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
17					
18					
19					
20					
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					